

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMERCI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,580	02/27/2002	Benoit Saillet	P21995	5891	
7055 7	7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H		
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3765	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10083,880 SAILLET ET AL. Examiner Robert H Muromoto, Jr. 3785 Art Unit Robert H Muromoto, Jr. 3785 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Search is the strength by available under the provisible of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a righty be limitely filed Examiner from the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a righty be limitely filed The period for may be possible above is insis than hirty (30) days, a varyly within the statutory minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely). If the period for may be possible above is the state hirty (30) days, a varyly within the state they minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely). If the period for may be possible down the maintenant state the mailing date of this communication, a vary mayly received by the Office later than these momes after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summer patient time eligitations. Set of CFR 1.704(b). Any mayly received by the Office later than these momes after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summer patient time eligitations. Set of CFR 1.704(b). Any mayly received by the Office later than these momes after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summer patient may display the communication of the mailing date of this communication. Status Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters,					`	3~			
## Common Summary Examiner Robert H Muromoto, Jr. 3765			Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Robert H Muromoto, Jr. 3765			10/08	3,580	SAILLET ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3C FR 1.13(a). In so event, however, may a reply be lainely filled other SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3C FR 1.13(a). In so event, however, may a reply be lainely filled other SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended pended for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (31 U.S.C.§ 133). Failure to reply within the set or extended pended for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (31 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply recorded by the Office but then three morning that the third and reply and will be reply within the state of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed petent term adjustment. See 3T CFR 1.79(b). **Status** 1) ② Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2T February 2002. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ② This action is FINAL. 2b) ② This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) ② Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ② The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ② The drawing(s) filed on 2T February 2002 is/are: a) ② accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ② The drawing(s) filed on 2T February 2002 is/are: a) ② accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ② The oth or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action Summary		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the govisions of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In co evoil, however, may a reply be limitly filed If the proof for reply specified above, the maximum statukory predict will expire SIX (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of the provision of the provisi		·	Robei	t H Muromoto, Jr.	3765				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of this may be suitable under the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effer SIX (6) MONITHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for may be peculiade one is less than they (30) days, a reply, within the data from of thisty, 20), days will be considered firmly. If the period for may be peculiade one is less than they (30) days, a reply, within the data from the value of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application is become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office late frain them controls after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The presulting sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.81(a). 11) The orth or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Ali					th the correspondence ad	dress			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provisio SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months.	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In remunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ply will, by statute, cause the safter the mailing date of the safter t	no event, however, may a re e statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 27 February	<u>2002</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 2T February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some 'c) None or: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application Since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic prio	·								
Algorithm of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10. ☐ I		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			application						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
8	·								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or election	on requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Aktachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9)[The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 27 Februar	<u>y 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) ☐ o	bjected to by the Examir	ner.			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Applicant may not request that any obj	jection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
a)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 		☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 		2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have to softhe priority documents	been received in Apuments have been		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was includ 7 CFR 1.78.	for domestic priorit led in the first sente	y under 35 U.S.C. once of the specification	§ 119(e) (to a provisional ation or in an Application				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 since				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Attachmen	t(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6. 6) Other:	1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		5) D Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTC				



Application/Control Number: 10/083,580

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolton UK provisional #974,426.

Bolton discloses a pressure suit, as an inflatable, flexible (claim 6) garment made of gas impermeable material (claim 8), which would inherently be strong, insulated and water tight, as recited in claim 11.

In figure 1, it is essential that the point A representing the inner end of the slot as formed to receive the panel 13 is on or near the virtual axis of the bending of the knee joint when the garment is pressurized. It should be noted that the edges 11a and 12a



Application/Control Number: 10/083,580

Art Unit: 3765

are not straight but have a curved length equal to the edges of the panel 13 (claim 7) to which they are joined (claim 2) in making up the garment. When the knee is flexed, the joint assumes the condition shown in figure 2a with slight puckering at the rear and with the material at the front extended. When the knee is straight the joint assumes the condition shown in figure 2b with a slight fold of surplus material (claim 14) behind the panel 13 (claim 7). In figure 3, a suit is shown with joints similar to those of figure 2 at the elbow, buttocks, knee, and shoulder, the panel portion in each joint spanning the bending axis (col. 2, lines 50-80); (claim 5).

Specifically, the outer layer as recited corresponds to the panel 13, the underlying layer corresponds to the material to be joined to the panel 13. These two layers are free from each other except where they are attached at the edges, as recited in claim 1.

<u>Claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Bolton or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bolton.</u>

With respect to the recitations of claims 10 and 14, the structure recited is that of functional folds for bending and an underlying layer fixed on the outer layer, which is provided by Bolton. The additional limitations of claim 10 and 14 are not structural limitations. Rather they are process limitations, which would imply a product by process claim. So in the alternative, claims 10 and 14 could also be rejected with a 103 rejection.

"The lack of physical description in a product-by-process claim makes determination of the patentability of the claim more difficult, since in spite of the fact that



Application/Control Number: 10/083,580

Art ⊎nit: 3765

the claim may recite only process limitations, it is the patentability of the product claimed and not of the recited process steps which must be established. We are therefore of the opinion that when the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be either identical with or only slightly different that a product claimed in a product-by-process claim, a rejection based on either section 102 or section 103 of the statute is eminently fair and acceptable. As a practical matter, the Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the myriad of processes put before it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith." *In re Brown*, 459 F.2d 531,535,173 USPQ 685,688 (CCPA 1972).

Claims 3, 4, 9, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolton in view of Hunter et al. '934.

Although Bolton teaches essentially all of the limitations of the instant invention, Bolton does not specifically teach a yoke structure made of LYCRA®, or neoprene.

However, LYCRA® and neoprene are widely used materials for wetsuits as can be shown in the examiner's cited references which all make use of neoprene and LYCRA® or spandex materials, which are considered equivalent materials.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a LYCRA® or neoprene material in the construction of an aquatic sports garment.

Hunter teaches a neoprene wetsuit with a neck entry system that uses an elastic back panel (yoke) 26 that is connected to inner portions of the suit just at the periphery of the panel 26. The panel 26 allows sufficient expansion (bending) to permit entry to the suit while ensuring a watertight seal when closed.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/083,580

Art Unit: 3765

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a yoke panel structure to provide a wetsuit with areas of higher expansion (bending) while still ensuring a water tight seal.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Numerous references teaching wetsuits and their structures have been cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-306-5503. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

Bhm December 15, 2003 JOHA J. CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700